G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 142

Serial Nos. 1–4

Papers Filed.

Month May 1907

SUBJECT.

Theft of Mula Vigraha of the Sri Rangamallaswamy temple in Ommattur village, Chamarajanagar Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
M J 97–13	

RECEIVED
2405

12/11/06

Dft Lr to
4/11/06

1906-07

From M. Kantaraj Urs Esq: B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
in the Mazrai Department

Dated 10th November 1906

Despatched

Received

General No. Rubakari No 36
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Forwards a rubakari
stating that Utsava Vigraha,
of the Shivanganatha swami
temple in the Ommattur Village
Chamarajanagar Taluk has been
stolen away by some body.

No. 36 — తీర్పు

తీర్పు ఫిర్యాదు అయిన తేదీ

తీర్పు ఇచ్చిన తేదీ — 8 నవంబర్ 1906

10

ఫిర్యాదుదారు పేరు

ఫిర్యాదుదారు తరపు న్యాయవాది పేరు

ప్రతివాది పేరు

ప్రతివాది తరపు న్యాయవాది పేరు

ఈ ఫిర్యాదు న్యాయస్థానంలో ప్రస్తావనకు వచ్చినప్పుడు ఫిర్యాదుదారు తరపు న్యాయవాది ప్రతివాది తరపు న్యాయవాది హాజరయ్యారు. ఫిర్యాదుదారు తరపు న్యాయవాది 1906వ సంవత్సరం నవంబర్ నెల 22వ తేదీన ఇచ్చిన తీర్పు ప్రకారం ప్రతివాది ఫిర్యాదుదారుకు చెల్లించవలసిన మొత్తాన్ని చెల్లించలేదని, అందువల్ల ఫిర్యాదుదారు ప్రతివాదిపై ధిక్కార పిటిషన్ దాఖలు చేయడం జరిగింది. ఫిర్యాదుదారు తరపు న్యాయవాది ప్రతివాదిపై ధిక్కార పిటిషన్ దాఖలు చేయడం జరిగింది.

పై మీకు రాయబడినట్లు

సెల్లుపై నుండి రాయబడినది. పై లక్షణములతో

కూడిన ప్రతి వ్యక్తి నాయొక్క

నామమును ధరించుటకు నాయొక్క

వ్యక్తిగత ఆస్తిని విడిచిపెట్టుటకు 4-1-26 నాటికి $\frac{587}{360}$ నా

నాయొక్క ఆస్తులన్నిటిని అమ్మి

యిచ్చుటకు సిద్ధపడవలసినట్లు తెలుపుచున్నది

నీకున్నట్లయితే వెళ్ళవచ్చును అందులకు

నేను సిద్ధముగా లేను—

ఆమె

Draft for approval.

Murrai S/[illegible]

224

Subd to the 2d Councillor for approval. HR 15/11/06

Appd by the CE Essae HR 17/11/06

No 2697-84/[illegible]

Read:-

Rubkari No 36, dated 10th November 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting that a theft took place in the Sri Ranga nathaswami temple at Ummathur in the Chamarajnagar Taluk on the night of the 22nd September 1906, ~~and that~~ that the Mula Vigraha of ~~three~~ Sri Rangana thaswamy was stolen from the temple, and ~~that the~~ Police have been directed to investigate ~~in~~ to the matter

Order thereon

Recorded // The Govt regret that the ~~fits~~ thefts in temples have become frequent in the Chamarajnagar Taluk and direct that effective steps should be taken to detect the culprits

and bring them to justice.

2. The promised report on the results of the investigation of the case by the Police is awaited.

17/11/06

Murzban Secretary

17/11/06

To

15/11/06

The Insp.r Gen.l of Police in Mysore.

" Deputy Commr., Mysore Dt.

17/11/06

21/11/06

Refers
21
23/4/07

Hbl sub
26 4 07
GA

1906-07. SL3

From,

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Dated. 20

Despatched. 20 April 1907

Received.

General No: 7433
No: 786

Enclosure No:/

Re Theft of Mulavigraha in Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Gundlupet, Chamarajanagar Dt.

No: 7433/786 Ex-1

Office of t
Inspector General of Police in My
Dated Bangalore, 7th April 1907.

From,

F. L. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Reference:-

Government Proceedings No: 2697-5 dated 1st November 1905 regarding the theft of the Mula Vigraha in Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Ummattur in Chamarajnagar Taluk, Mysore District.

I have the honor to forward herewith for the information of Government copy of letter No: 451 dated 4th April 1907 from the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore District, stating that all endeavours to trace out the Vigraha have proved fruitless.

I may mention here, that in my opinion both the Amildar and Inspector are quite useless. The latter is about to be retired, and the former is I understand well known as an incompetent officer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

No: 481. Dated 4th April 1907.

From,

Md. Zahirudain Meccai Esquire, B.A.,
District Superintendent of Police,
Mysore.

To,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Sir,

Reference:-

Your No: 3798/285 dated 6th December 1906 forwarding to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, copy of Government Proceedings No: 2679-8 dated 21st November 1906 observing that thefts in temples have become frequent in Chamarajnagar Taluk &c.

With reference to the above I have the honor to state that the Inspector of Chamarajnagar reports that on receipt of the report of theft of the Vigraha he went to the village and made a thorough enquiry into the case which showed that the Archika himself Alasingrachar by name, having at some time previous to the date of report misappropriated the Vigraha, had falsely represented it to be a case of theft.

The Inspector deputed the Taluk Jamedar Ramaswamiah and Duffedar Rajasing to Honenahally, Chennapatna, Bindiganavli and T. Narsipur where the accused is known to have relatives with a view to trace out the Vigraha.But all endeavours in that direction have proved fruitless.

I have &c.,

(Sd. Md. Zahirudain Meccai.

Dist. Superintendent of Police,
Mysore.

"COPY"

Inspector General of Police.

Dy Commr Mysore Dt 27/4"

Draft for approval

Mysore SH

Mag F 142-1906

Read:-

Letter No 7433/786, dated 20th April 1907, from the D.G. of Police in Mysore, reporting with refce to Govt Pro: No. 2697-8, dated 1st November 1906, that the Archak of the Sri Ranganatha swamy temple at Nunnathur in the Chamarajnagar Taluk, is stated to have himself misappropriated the moola Vigraha of the temple and falsely represented it to be a case of theft and that all endeavours ~~to find out the Archak or to~~ to trace out the Vigraha have proved fruitless.

Order thereon:-

Recorded.

The Dy Comr is requested to enquire why the Amount ~~should be paid~~ should be remitted

Secretary

To

The D.G. of Police in Mysore
" Dy Comm: Mysore Dt

27/4/07

Draft submitted to the 2nd Asst for appl.

Attention is invited to the remarks of the D.G of Police regarding the incompetency of the Archak and the Police Inspector

Secy Mysore Rao
29/4/07

Secy
30/4/07

3/5/07

No. 579-80/6-5-07

30/4/07

on receipt of remarks required to make good the loss